AIRBORNE LIDAR PROJECT REPORT

SAIC LIDAR
SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION (SAIC)

CONTRACT NUMBER: PO10020755_R21

Woolpert Project Number: 66566

PREPARED BY:

WOOLPERT, INC.
4454 Idea Center Boulevard
Dayton, OH 45430.1500
December 2011

W

WOOLPERT
DESIGN | GEOSPATIAL | INFRASTRUCTURE

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

SECTION 1: OVERVIEW

TASK ORDER NAME: SAIC LIDAR

WOOLPERT PROJECT #66566

This report contains a comprehensive outline of the airborne LiDAR data acquisition for Chicago, IL for Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC). The project area was approximately 2344 square kilometers. The LiDAR was collected and processed to meet the Nominal Post Spacing (NPS) requirement of 1.0 meters. The NPS assessment is made against single swath, first return data located within the geometrically usable center portion (typically ~90%) of each swath.

The data was collected using a Leica ALS50-II 150 kHz Multiple Pulses in Air (MPiA) LiDAR sensor installed in a Leica gyro-stabilized PAV30 mount. The ALS50-II 150 kHz sensor collects up to four returns per pulse, as well as intensity data, for the first three returns. If a fourth return was captured, the system does not record an associated intensity value. The aerial LiDAR was collected at the following sensor specifications:

Post Spacing (Minimum):	3.2 ft / 1.0 m
AGL (Above Ground Level) average flying height:	6500 ft / 1981 m
MSL (Mean Sea Level) average flying height:	7050 ft / 2149 m
Average Ground Speed:	130 knots / 150 mph
Field of View (full):	40 degrees
Pulse Rate:	115.6 kHz
Scan Rate:	41.8 Hz
Side Lap (Minimum):	29.5%

LiDAR data was produced in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 16N, North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83). Coordinate positions were specified in units of meters. The vertical datum used for the project was referenced to NAVD 1988, meters, Geoid09.

SECTION 2: ACQUISITION

The LiDAR data was acquired with a Leica ALS50-II 150 kHz Multiple Pulses in Air (MPiA) LiDAR sensor system, on board a Cessna 404. The ALS50-II LiDAR system, developed by Leica Geosystems of Heerbrugg, Switzerland, includes the simultaneous first, intermediate and last pulse data capture module, the extended altitude range module, and the target signal intensity capture module. The system software is operated on an OC50 Operation Controller aboard the aircraft.

The ALS 50-II 150 kHz Multiple Pulses in Air (MPiA) LiDAR System has the following specifications:

Table 2.1 ALS 50-II LiDAR System Specifications

Specification	
Operating Altitude	200 - 6,000 meters
Scan Angle	0 to 75° (variable)
Swath Width	0 to 1.5 X altitude (variable)
Scan Frequency	0 – 90 Hz (variable based on scan angle)
Maximum Pulse Rate	150 kHz
Range Resolution	Better than 1 cm
Elevation Accuracy	8 - 24 cm single shot (one standard deviation)
Horizontal Accuracy	7 - 64 cm (one standard deviation)
Number of Returns per Pulse	4 (first, second, third, last)
Number of Intensities	3 (first, second, third)
Intensity Digitization	8 bit intensity + 8 bit AGC (Automatic Gain Control) level
MPiA (Multiple Pulses in Air)	8 bits @ 1nsec interval @ 50kHz
Laser Beam Divergence	0.22 mrad @ $1/e^2$ (~0.15 mrad @ 1/e)
Laser Classification	Class IV laser product (FDA CFR 21)
Eye Safe Range	400m single shot depending on laser repetition rate
Roll Stabilization	Automatic adaptive, range = 75 degrees minus current FOV
Power Requirements	28 VDC @ 25A
Operating Temperature	0-40°C
Humidity	0-95% non-condensing
Supported GNSS Receivers	Ashtech Z12, Trimble 7400, Novatel Millenium

Prior to mobilizing to the project site, Woolpert flight crews coordinated with the necessary Air Traffic Control personnel to ensure airspace access.

Woolpert survey crews were onsite, operating a Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Base Station at the Aurora Municipal Airport for the airborne GPS support.

The LiDAR data was collected in five missions, as the weather permitted, to ensure consistent ground conditions across the project area.

An initial quality control process was performed immediately on the LiDAR data to review the data coverage, airborne GPS data, and trajectory solution. Any gaps found in the LiDAR data were relayed to the flight crew, and the area was re-flown.

Table 2.2 Airborne LiDAR Acquisition Flight Summary

Date of Mission	Lines Flown	Mission Time (UTC) Wheels Up/ Wheels Down	Mission Time (Local = CDT) Wheels Up/ Wheels Down
November 1, 2011	40-51	18:29-22:22	1:29PM-5:22PM
November 2, 2011	1-10, 31-39	12:08-18:38	7:08AM-1:38PM
November 11, 2011	11-30, 48 (reflight)	17:22-00:04	12:52PM-7:04PM
November 15, 2011	X1-X4 (cross flights)	15:06-17:45	10:06AM-12:45PM

SECTION 3: LIDAR DATA PROCESSING

APPLICATIONS AND WORK FLOW OVERVIEW

1. Resolved kinematic corrections for three subsystems: inertial measurement unit (IMU), sensor orientation information and airborne GPS data. Developed a blending post-processed aircraft position with attitude data using Kalman filtering technology or the smoothed best estimate trajectory (SBET).
Software: POSPac Software v. 5.3, IPAS Pro v.1.3.

2. Calculated laser point position by associating the SBET position to each laser point return time, scan angle, intensity, etc. Created raw laser point cloud data for the entire survey in .LAS format. Automated line-to-line calibrations were then performed for system attitude parameters (pitch, roll, heading), mirror flex (scale) and GPS/IMU drift.
Software: ALS Post Processing Software v.2.70, Proprietary Software, TerraMatch v. 10.04.

3. Imported processed .LAS point cloud data into project tiles. Resulting data were classified as ground and non-ground points with additional filters created to meet the project classification specifications. Statistical absolute accuracy was assessed via direct comparisons of ground classified points to ground RTK survey data. Based on the statistical analysis, the LiDAR data was then adjusted in relation to the survey ground control.
Software: TerraScan v.10.018.

4. The .LAS files were evaluated through a series of QA/QC steps to eliminate remaining artifacts and small undulations from the ground class.
Software: TerraScan v.10.018.

GLOBAL NAVIGATION SATELLITE SYSTEM (GNSS)-INERTIAL MEASUREMENT UNIT (IMU) TRAJECTORY PROCESSING

EQUIPMENT

Flight navigation during the LiDAR data acquisition mission is performed using IGI CCNS (Computer Controlled Navigation System). The pilots are highly skilled at maintaining their planned trajectory, while holding the aircraft steady and level. If atmospheric conditions are such that the trajectory, ground speed, roll, pitch and/or heading cannot be properly maintained, the mission is aborted until suitable conditions occur.

The aircraft are all configured with a NovAtel Millennium 12-channel, L1/L2 dual frequency Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receivers collecting at 2 Hz.

All Woolpert aerial sensors are equipped with a Litton LN200 series Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) operating at 200 Hz.

A base-station unit was mobilized for each acquisition mission, and was operated by a member of the Woolpert survey crew. Each base-station setup consisted of one Trimble 4000 – 5000 series dual frequency receiver, one Trimble Compact L1/L2 dual frequency antenna, one 2-meter fixed-height tripod, and essential battery power and cabling. Ground planes were used on the base-station antennas. Data was collected at 1 or 2 Hz.

Woolpert survey crews were onsite, operating a Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Base Station at Aurora Municipal Airport for the airborne GPS support. The GNSS base station operated during the LiDAR acquisition missions is listed below:

Table 3.1 GNSS Base Station

Station	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoid Height (L1 Phase Center)
Name	(DMS)	(DMS)	(Meters)
DP2A CORS	N 41°54'01.33523"	W 87°57' 55.21954"	178.001
ARR Airport Base	N 41°46'04.94430"	W 88°28'02.01262"	181.872
VODG CORS	N 41°47'41.81482"	W 88°00'14.71001"	194.855
INGY CORS	N 41°35'42.65002"	W 87°14'47.66276"	159.489

DATA PROCESSING

All airborne GNSS and IMU data was post-processed and quality controlled using Applanix MMS software. GNSS data was processed at a 1 and 2 Hz data capture rate and the IMU data was processed at 200 Hz.

TRAJECTORY QUALITY

The GNSS Trajectory, along with high quality IMU data are key factors in determining the overall positional accuracy of the final sensor data. See **Figure 3.1** for the flight trajectory.

Flight Trajectory

Figure 3.1: Representative Graph from Day30511: N404CP and ALS LiDAR S/N 77

Within the trajectory processing, there are many factors that affect the overall quality, but the most indicative are the Combined Separation, the Estimated Positional Accuracy, and the Positional Dilution of Precision (PDOP).

COMBINED SEPARATION

The Combined Separation is a measure of the difference between the forward run and the backward run solution of the trajectory. The Kalman filter is processed in both directions to remove the combined directional anomalies. In general, when these two solutions match closely, an optimally accurate reliable solution is achieved.

Woolpert's goal is to maintain a Combined Separation Difference of less than ten (10) centimeters. In most cases we achieve results below this threshold. See **Figure 3.2** for the combined separation graph.


Figure 3.2: Representative Graph from Day30511 of Combined Separation

ESTIMATED POSITIONAL ACCURACY

The Estimated Positional Accuracy plots the standard deviations of the east, north, and vertical directions along a time scale of the trajectory. It illustrates loss of satellite lock issues, as well as issues arising from long baselines, noise, and/or other atmospheric interference.

Woolpert's goal is to maintain an Estimated Positional Accuracy of less than ten (10) centimeters, often achieving results well below this threshold.

Figure 3.3: Representative Graph from Day30511 of Positional Accuracy

LIDAR DATA PROCESSING

When the sensor calibration, data acquisition, and GPS processing phases were complete, the formal data reduction processes by Woolpert LiDAR specialists included:

- Processed individual flight lines to derive a "Point Cloud". Matched overlapping flight lines, generated statistics for evaluation comparisons, and made the necessary adjustments to remove any residual systematic error.

- Calibrated LAS files were imported into project tiles and initially filtered to create a ground and non-ground class. Then additional classes are filtered as necessary to meet client specified classes.

- Once all project data was imported and classified, cross flights and survey ground control data was imported and calculated for an accuracy assessment. As a QA/QC measure, Woolpert has developed a routine to generate accuracy statistical reports by comparison among LiDAR points, ground control, and TINs. The LiDAR is adjusted accordingly to meet or exceed the vertical accuracy requirements.

- The LiDAR data in LAS format was reviewed using a series of proprietary QA/QC procedures to ensure it fulfills the project requirements.

- The LiDAR LAS files for this project have been classified into the Default (Class 1), Ground (Class 2), Noise (Class 7), and Vegetation (Class 5) classifications.

- Final deliverable data was derived from the adjusted classified LiDAR data.

SECTION 4: FINAL ACCURACY ASSESSMENT

FINAL VERTICAL ACCURACY ASSESSMENT

The vertical accuracy statistics were calculated by comparison of the LiDAR bare earth points to the ground surveyed QA/QC points.

Table 4.1: Overall Vertical Accuracy Statistics

Average error	-0.003	meters
Minimum error	-0.137	meters
Maximum error	0.218	meters
Average magnitude	0.065	meters
Root mean square	0.082	meters
Standard deviation	0.083	meters

Table 4.2: QA/QC Analysis UTM 16N, NAD83

Point ID	Easting (UTM meters)	Northing (UTM meters)	Elevation (meters)	Laser Elevation (meters)	Dz (meters)
1	414839.682	4658831.706	223.395	223.32	-0.075
2	434139.481	4658757.957	193.981	193.93	-0.051
3	421977.477	4653915.916	199.906	199.84	-0.066
4	443812.949	4653732.942	183.417	183.28	-0.137
5	414696.756	4649817.184	218.782	218.76	-0.022
6	427747.545	4649676.935	193.672	193.58	-0.092
7	440855.945	4649774.547	181.275	181.25	-0.025
8	446882.744	4643883.144	179.021	179.01	-0.011
9	433980.431	4643628.976	195.594	195.48	-0.114
10	420021.937	4643497.326	215.256	215.26	0.004
11	414544.964	4635596.999	222.295	222.4	0.105
12	427272.173	4635422.519	191.296	191.38	0.084
13	443768.461	4635716.356	180.736	180.78	0.044
14	449872.458	4629647.825	181.962	182.18	0.218
15	438379.972	4629509.109	181.833	181.92	0.087
17	413731.196	4623361.532	234.203	234.31	0.107
18	428388.021	4623567.244	183.105	183.14	0.035
19	445375.376	4623636.308	183.059	183.06	0.001
20	455709.459	4617324.14	178.857	178.76	-0.097
21	438539.555	4617340.758	182.413	182.4	-0.013
22	421562.337	4617581.61	182.507	182.44	-0.067
23	415428.375	4609439.192	211.38	211.37	-0.01
24	426488.039	4609402.344	225.811	225.9	0.089
25	446553.721	4609410.266	182.085	182.05	-0.035
26	463122.201	4609519.33	179.076	179.04	-0.036

VERTICAL ACCURACY CONCLUSIONS

- **Data Accuracy** tested 0.082 meters RMSE vertical accuracy at 95% percent confidence level.

Based on the analysis of the LiDAR data, the accuracy of the data meets the task order requirements.

Approved By:			
Title	Name	Signature	Date
LiDAR Specialist Certified Photogrammetrist #1281	Qian Xiao		December 16, 2011

SECTION 5: FINAL DELIVERABLES

FINAL DELIVERABLES

The final deliverables are listed below:

- One set of LiDAR data reflective surface tiles in 1 meter ArcGRID format in 2,000 meter x 2,000 meter overlapping tiles.
- One set of LiDAR data bare earth tiles in 1 meter ArcGRID format in 2,000 meter x 2,000 meter overlapping tiles.
- One set of LiDAR data last return tiles in 1 meter ArcGRID format in 2,000 meter x 2,000 meter overlapping tiles.
- One set of LiDAR data intensity tiles in 1 meter ArcGRID format in 2,000 meter x 2,000 meter overlapping tiles.
- LAS v1.1 classified point cloud and bare earth point files in tile format.
- The project data was delivered on DVDR.